

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,856	10/23/2003	James R. Scott	758.1376USI1	6732	
7590 09/12/2005			EXAM	INER	
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903			LAWRENCE J	LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astine Comme	10/691,856	SCOTT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INO DATE AND	Frank M. Lawrence	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined. 1919 within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ate, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	is action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/o 	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	·				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date (2). 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to an air cleaner, classified in class 55, subclass 490.
 - II. Claim 20, drawn to a method of manufacturing a filter, classified in class 264, subclass 241.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as by one that uses a unitary framework that is molded around the media.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mara Liepa on July 27, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-19. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 20 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,856

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 3

Specification

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f). This objection refers to the references on pages 1, 2, 8 and 14 to unpublished U.S. applications and foreign publications.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwards et al. (4,955,996).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,856

Art Unit: 1724

9. Edwards et al. '996 teach a filter cartridge comprising first and second opposite ends, a flow exit aperture (51) through the first end, filter media (45) extending between the ends, outer framework (44) having a permeable outer surface circumscribing the media, an axial seal ring (56) circumscribing the flow exit aperture, and a non-threaded cross bar (102) positioned adjacent to and spaced from the first end for rotational engagement of studs (101) (see figures, col. 6, lines 24-49).

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 2-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 10. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claims 18 and 19 are allowed. 11.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of 12. record fails to disclose or suggest an air cleaner that has a second open end and a removable end cover having a precleaner comprising a shield having a spiral ramp on its outer surface, a dust drop tube adjacent the first end, and a side air flow inlet adjacent the second end.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/691,856

Art Unit: 1724

Conclusion

Page 5

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose air filter

arrangements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Frank M. Lawrence **Primary Examiner**

Frank Faurence 7-28-05

Art Unit 1724

fl